## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ELAYNE R. MITCHELL,

Plaintiff,

v. CA 01-1866 (RWR/JMF) ECF

NATIONAL RAILROAD PASSENGER CORPORATION, et al.,

Defendants.

## ORDER

In accordance with the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, and subject to any applicable provision of the Protective Order entered herein, the following is hereby,

## ORDERED:

- Defendants will supplement their discovery responses by specifying with
  particularity the duties Paul Bello has performed since the restructuring that led to
  plaintiff's termination.
- Defendants will identify and describe any restructuring of the Human Resources

  Department in the period from January 1, 1996 to the date of plaintiff's

  termination. If, as a result of this restructuring or reorganization, any employee of
  the Human Resources Department was fired, demoted, or transferred, defendants
  shall identify that person, describe how he or she was affected by the restructuring
  or reorganization, and specify his or her age, gender, and race, and state whether
  that person is disabled or perceived to be disabled.
- 3. Defendants will supplement its discovery responses by identifying by name, job, race, gender and actual or perceived disability, all employees hired by the Human

- Resources Department in the period from January 1, 1996 to the date of plaintiff's termination.
- 4. Defendants will provide plaintiff with documents which contain, pertain, or relate to any claim of discrimination made against the Human Resources Department based on race, gender, age, or disability in the period from January 1, 1996 to the date of plaintiff's termination.
- 5. Defendants will provide plaintiff with copies of all severance agreements executed between Amtrak and any person employed by the Human Resources Department during the tenure of the defendant Lorraine A. Green as Vice President of Human Resources.
- 6. Defendants will submit to the court for an *in camera* review all documents as to which defendants claim the attorney-client or work product privileges along with the memorandum described in section VI of the Memorandum Opinion.
- 7. Defendants will comply with the obligations of this Order within 30 days of its issuance.

It is further, hereby,

**ORDERED** that <u>Plaintiff's Motion To Compel Defendants' Discovery Responses</u> [#23] is **DENIED** in part and **GRANTED** in part. It is further, hereby,

**ORDERED** that plaintiff's Motion to Quash Or, In the Alternative, for Protective Order [# 26] is **DENIED**. Finally, it is, hereby,

ORDERED that <u>Plaintiff's Motion to Allow Additional Depositions</u> [#31] is **GRANTED**.

SO ORDERED.

JOHN M. FACCIOLA
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: